1 2 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 3 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 4 5 ARNULFO GARCIA, No. CV-06-1958 MMC (PR) 6 7 Petitioner, JUDGMENT IN A CIVIL CASE 8 v. 9 JAMES YATES, Warden, 10 Respondent. 11 12 () **Jury Verdict.** This action came before the Court for a trial by jury. The issues 13 have been tried and the jury has rendered its verdict. 14 (X) **Decision by Court.** This action came to trial or hearing before the Court. The 15 issues have been tried or heard and a decision has been rendered. 16 IT IS SO ORDERED AND ADJUDGED As to each of petitioner's claims, the 17 Court concludes the state court's determinations were neither contrary to, nor 18 unreasonable applications of, clearly established Supreme Court precedent, nor were they 19 based on an unreasonable determination of the facts in light of the evidence presented. 20 Accordingly, the petition for a writ of habeas corpus is hereby DENIED. 21 22 Richard W. Wieking, Clerk Dated: December 22, 2008 23 24 25 By: Tracy Lucero Deputy Clerk 26 27

28